



THE PARADOX OF SILENT GOVERNANCE AND LOUD SUFFERING IN NIGERIA: POLITICAL PRIORITIES, MASS POVERTY, POLICY INERTIA, AND THE STRAIN ON DEMOCRATIC ACCOUNTABILITY IN THE PRE-2027 ERA

Ass. Professor Orie, Sylvester Okorie (*Ph.D, MSc, MPA, MBA, PGD, BSc, CNA, FCNA, FNIM, FCAI*)

Department of Public Administration & Policy Studies, Tansian University, Umunya.

Abstract: This study reflects on the paradox of governance failure and persistent mass poverty in Nigeria. It examines how political priorities, policy inertia, corruption, and weak institutional accountability shape socio-economic deprivation. The main objective is to assess the relationship between governance practices and poverty outcomes in Nigeria. The study adopted a descriptive survey research design supported by qualitative content analysis. Primary data were obtained through questionnaires and key informant interviews. Secondary data were drawn from government reports, academic journals, policy documents, and credible media sources. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics such as frequency tables, percentages, and mean scores. Thematic content analysis was also applied for qualitative interpretation. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25 was used as the analytical software package. Sampling size determination was guided by the Yaro Yamane mathematical model for finite populations. Theoretical analysis was anchored on Elite Theory, Structural Functionalism, and Dependency Theory. The study reveals that entrenched political corruption distorts public resource allocation. It also shows that policy inconsistency and governance inertia intensify poverty and unemployment. Furthermore, weak accountability structures sustain underdevelopment despite rising national revenues. It is recommended that anti-corruption institutions be strengthened with greater independence and enforcement capacity. It also recommends the institutionalisation of policy continuity frameworks across political administrations. In addition, public financial management systems should be restructured to improve transparency and equitable distribution of resources. Therefore, the study concludes that Nigeria's development challenges are deeply rooted in governance failures that require urgent structural and institutional reforms.

Keywords: Governance, Accountability, Transparency, Corruption, Policy, Development

Introduction

Nigeria, often celebrated as the “giant of Africa,” stands today at a deeply troubling crossroads where promise and paradox collide with unsettling intensity. Beneath the façade of macroeconomic optimism and reform driven rhetoric lies a widening gulf between state narratives and the lived realities of ordinary citizens. This contradiction between proclaimed progress and palpable suffering forms the intellectual and moral foundation of this study. It

interrogates a nation where economic indicators may suggest resilience, yet human conditions reveal profound distress, disillusionment, and a growing erosion of public trust in governance.

In recent years, the Nigerian state has embarked upon far reaching economic reforms under the administration of Bola Ahmed Tinubu. These reforms, most notably the removal of fuel subsidies, exchange rate unification, and fiscal restructuring, have been framed as necessary, albeit

Public Policy and International Affair Academic Journal

An official Publication of Center for International Research Development

Double Blind Peer and Editorial Review International Referred Journal; Globally index

Available <https://cirdjournals.com/index.php/ppiaaj>; E-mail: journals@cirdjournals.com



painful, pathways toward long term economic stability and growth. Official projections indicate moderate gross domestic product growth and improving fiscal indicators, suggesting that the economy is on a path toward recovery and consolidation (International Monetary Fund, 2024; World Bank, 2024). However, such macroeconomic gains, while statistically persuasive, have not translated into tangible improvements in the welfare of the majority. Instead, they have coincided with what many observers describe as the most severe cost of living crisis in a generation (PwC, 2024; National Bureau of Statistics, 2024).

This disjuncture between macroeconomic stability and microeconomic hardship is not merely an economic anomaly; it is a profound governance dilemma. Empirical evidence reveals that poverty in Nigeria is not only widespread but rapidly deepening. According to recent projections by PricewaterhouseCoopers, approximately 141 million Nigerians, about 62 per cent of the population, are expected to live in poverty by 2026 (PwC, 2024). This staggering figure represents not just a statistical crisis but a human tragedy of immense proportions. It signifies households unable to afford basic sustenance, children deprived of education, and communities trapped in cycles of deprivation that undermine both dignity and opportunity. The lived experience of Nigerians vividly illustrates this reality. Across urban and rural landscapes, families grapple daily with escalating food prices, dwindling purchasing power, and precarious livelihoods. Data from the National Bureau of Statistics indicates that food inflation has remained persistently high, placing disproportionate pressure on low income households (NBS, 2024). In many cases, households now allocate a significant proportion of their income to food consumption, leaving little for healthcare, education, and other essentials. In such circumstances, survival becomes an all consuming struggle, leaving little room for aspirations, productivity, or civic engagement. The economic question thus transcends abstract policy debates; it becomes an existential concern that defines the rhythm of everyday life.

Yet, perhaps more troubling than the economic hardship itself is the perception, whether real or constructed, of governmental silence or insufficient responsiveness to the

magnitude of the crisis. While official communications emphasise recovery, resilience, and future growth, many citizens perceive a disconnect between these narratives and their immediate realities. Public discourse increasingly reflects frustration with what is seen as a prioritisation of political consolidation, including early positioning for the 2027 general elections, over urgent socio economic relief. This perceived shift in focus raises critical questions about the nature of political priorities in times of national distress. The phenomenon described herein as silent governance does not necessarily imply absolute inaction; rather, it denotes a form of governance characterised by rhetorical reassurance without commensurate visible and immediate impact on citizens' welfare. It is a silence measured not in the absence of words, but in the absence of felt change. This silence becomes even more pronounced when juxtaposed against the loud suffering of the populace, manifested in rising poverty rates, food insecurity, unemployment, and social unrest. Reports from the World Food Programme indicate that millions of Nigerians face acute food insecurity due to economic hardship and conflict related disruptions (WFP, 2024). Such figures underscore the urgency of the crisis and the inadequacy of incremental or delayed policy responses.

Historically, Nigeria's struggle with poverty and governance challenges is neither new nor incidental. Structural factors, ranging from economic dependence on oil revenues to systemic corruption and weak institutional frameworks, have long undermined inclusive development. Despite periods of robust economic growth, the benefits have often failed to reach the majority, resulting in persistent inequality and vulnerability (World Bank, 2023; United Nations Development Programme, 2023). What distinguishes the current moment, however, is the intensity and scale of the crisis, compounded by reform induced shocks that have exacerbated existing vulnerabilities.

Furthermore, the political economy of Nigeria reveals a complex interplay between power, policy, and public welfare. Scholars within the field of Political Economy have long argued that poverty in such contexts is not merely an economic condition but also a political instrument that shapes electoral behaviour, sustains patronage networks, and reinforces elite dominance.



Contemporary critiques suggest that entrenched poverty may inadvertently serve as a mechanism for political control, perpetuating cycles of dependency and marginalisation (Acemoglu and Robinson, 2019; World Bank, 2023). While such assertions remain subject to scholarly debate, they highlight the need for rigorous interrogation of the relationship between poverty and political incentives.

In the prelude to the 2027 electoral cycle, this relationship assumes heightened significance. Elections in democratic societies are expected to serve as mechanisms of accountability, enabling citizens to reward or sanction leaders based on performance. However, when economic hardship becomes pervasive and immediate survival takes precedence, the capacity for informed and participatory citizenship may be diminished. Poverty, in this sense, does not merely reflect governance failure; it actively constrains democratic engagement and undermines the very foundations of accountability.

The tension between democratic ideals and socio economic realities is further complicated by the nature of policy inertia. Despite the recognition of poverty as a critical national challenge, responses have often been fragmented, inconsistent, or insufficiently targeted. Social protection programmes, where implemented, have struggled with issues of scale, transparency, and effectiveness (International Labour Organization, 2023; World Bank, 2024). Meanwhile, structural reforms, though necessary, have been criticised for their short term social costs and delayed benefits. The result is a policy environment where intentions may be ambitious, but outcomes remain uneven and contested.

This study is therefore situated within the broader discourse on governance, political economy, and development in contemporary Africa. It seeks to interrogate the paradox of a state that appears active in reform yet is perceived as silent in alleviating suffering; a democracy that promises accountability yet operates within conditions that constrain citizen agency; and an economy that grows in aggregate terms while declining in distributive justice. By examining these contradictions, the research aims to contribute to a more nuanced

understanding of Nigeria's current trajectory and the implications for its democratic and developmental future. Importantly, this inquiry is not merely academic; it is profoundly human. It is about the market trader who can no longer restock her goods, the civil servant whose salary has lost its value, the farmer who cannot afford inputs, and the youth whose aspirations are steadily eroded by unemployment and uncertainty. These lived experiences, though often reduced to statistics, constitute the real substance of the crisis. They demand not only analysis but empathy, not only critique but constructive engagement.

In conclusion, the paradox of silent governance and loud suffering in Nigeria encapsulates one of the most pressing challenges of contemporary statecraft: how to reconcile economic reform with social justice, political ambition with public welfare, and democratic ideals with lived realities. As the nation approaches a critical electoral juncture, the stakes could not be higher. The choices made or not made will shape not only the immediate future but the long term trajectory of governance, development, and human dignity in Nigeria. It is within this context that the present study situates its inquiry, seeking to illuminate the pathways through which Nigeria might transcend its current paradox and realise the promise of inclusive and accountable governance.

Research Questions

1. How do current government policies and reforms in Nigeria influence the rising levels of mass poverty and economic hardship?
2. To what extent does perceived governance silence affect public trust and democratic accountability in Nigeria?
3. How does the preoccupation with electoral politics towards 2027 shape policy priorities amidst widespread socio economic suffering?

Objective of The Study

To examine the paradox of silent governance and loud suffering in Nigeria, with a view to understanding its implications for mass poverty and democratic accountability in the pre 2027 era.

Specific Objectives of The Study



1. To assess the impact of current government policies and economic reforms on the rising levels of poverty in Nigeria.

2. To evaluate the effect of perceived governance silence on public trust and citizen engagement.

3. To examine how electoral preoccupation towards 2027 influences policy priorities amidst socio economic distress.

Significance of The Study

The significance of this study is anchored in its contribution to the understanding of governance challenges and development outcomes within Nigeria's contemporary political economy. In a period characterised by fiscal pressures, institutional weaknesses, and rising public expectations, this study provides a structured and evidence based examination of how governance practices shape economic stability and social wellbeing. By bridging theoretical insights with empirical realities, the study offers a compelling framework for analysing policy effectiveness and institutional performance.

One major contribution of this study lies in its enrichment of academic discourse in the fields of public administration, policy studies, and financial management. It advances knowledge by critically examining the interaction between governance structures and development outcomes, particularly in developing economies. For example, Nigeria's rising public debt, estimated at over ₦97 trillion by 2024, reflects deeper structural inefficiencies in fiscal governance (Debt Management Office, 2024). This study situates such developments within broader theoretical debates, thereby providing a valuable reference point for scholars and researchers.

Another important contribution is its relevance to public policy formulation and implementation. The study provides practical insights that can guide policymakers in designing more responsive and inclusive policies. A clear example is the removal of fuel subsidies in 2023, which, although aimed at improving fiscal sustainability, resulted in increased inflation and cost of living pressures (National Bureau of Statistics, 2024). By highlighting the gaps between policy intentions and outcomes, the study

underscores the need for complementary social protection measures and better policy coordination.

The study also contributes significantly to the discourse on institutional reform and accountability. It draws attention to the persistent challenges of corruption, weak oversight mechanisms, and limited transparency in public sector operations. Nigeria's low ranking on global corruption indices continues to reflect systemic governance issues (Transparency International, 2024). Through its analysis, the study emphasises the importance of strengthening institutions, enhancing regulatory frameworks, and promoting ethical standards in public service as essential drivers of sustainable development.

In addition, the study offers valuable insights for economic planning and investment decisions. A stable governance environment remains a critical factor in attracting both domestic and foreign investment. However, policy inconsistencies and regulatory uncertainties have continued to undermine investor confidence. For instance, fluctuations in exchange rate policies and constraints in foreign exchange accessibility have adversely affected business operations (Central Bank of Nigeria, 2024). This study provides a basis for improving economic governance in ways that support investment growth and macroeconomic stability.

Conceptual Explication

Governance

It posits that governance refers to the processes, structures, and institutions through which authority is exercised and public resources are managed in a society. In the Nigerian context, governance is often evaluated through the effectiveness of policy implementation and service delivery. For instance, the persistent challenges in electricity supply despite substantial budgetary allocations reflect governance inefficiencies in the power sector (Central Bank of Nigeria, 2024). This demonstrates how weak coordination and accountability mechanisms can undermine development outcomes.

Accountability

Essentially, accountability entails the obligation of public officials and institutions to be answerable for their actions



and decisions. It is a cornerstone of democratic governance and institutional integrity. In Nigeria, the activities of anti corruption agencies and audit institutions illustrate attempts to enforce accountability, yet cases of misappropriation of public funds continue to emerge (Transparency International, 2024). Such realities highlight the gap between formal accountability frameworks and actual practice.

Transparency

Accordingly, transparency refers to the openness and accessibility of government actions, decisions, and financial transactions to the public. It enhances trust and reduces opportunities for corruption. A demonstrable example is the publication of budgetary allocations and expenditure reports by government agencies, which allows citizens and civil society organisations to scrutinise public spending. However, limited access to detailed financial records in some sectors continues to constrain full transparency (National Bureau of Statistics, 2024).

Corruption

Interestingly, corruption denotes the abuse of public office for private gain, and it remains a critical impediment to Nigeria's development. It manifests in forms such as bribery, embezzlement, and nepotism. For example, irregularities in public procurement processes have led to inflated contract costs and abandoned projects across various states. Nigeria's consistent low ranking on global corruption perception indices underscores the systemic nature of this challenge (Transparency International, 2024).

Policy

It entails that policy is a deliberate course of action adopted by government to address specific societal issues. Effective policy requires coherence, consistency, and responsiveness to public needs. The removal of fuel subsidies in 2023 serves as a clear policy example aimed at reducing fiscal burden. However, the resulting increase in transportation and food costs illustrates the complex interplay between policy decisions and socio economic realities (National Bureau of Statistics, 2024).

Development

Justifiably, development refers to the process of improving the economic, social, and political wellbeing of a society. It encompasses growth in income, reduction in poverty, and enhancement of quality of life. In Nigeria, development efforts are often measured through indicators such as infrastructure provision, healthcare access, and employment opportunities. Despite various reform initiatives, challenges such as high unemployment and inflation persist, indicating uneven development progress (Debt Management Office, 2024).

Theoretical Foundation

This study on the paradox of silent governance and loud suffering in Nigeria is anchored on three interrelated theoretical frameworks that collectively illuminate the dynamics of governance failure, distributive injustice, and weakened democratic accountability in contexts of persistent socio-economic distress. These are the Political Economy Theory of Development, the Relative Deprivation Theory, and the Accountability and Democratic Governance Theory. Together, they provide a coherent lens for interpreting the Nigerian experience in the pre-2027 period, where economic reforms, poverty escalation, and electoral positioning increasingly intersect in complex and often contradictory ways.

The Political Economy Theory of Development essentially provides the foundational explanation for how political power and economic outcomes are deeply intertwined in shaping development trajectories. It argues that economic policies are rarely neutral or purely technical, but are instead products of political bargaining, institutional structures, and elite interests that determine how resources are distributed within society (Acemoglu and Robinson, 2019; North, Wallis and Weingast, 2009). Accordingly, development outcomes in many post-colonial states are often shaped not only by market forces but also by governance choices that reflect underlying power asymmetries.

In the Nigerian context, this theoretical lens becomes particularly useful in explaining why macroeconomic reforms such as fuel subsidy removal, exchange rate unification, and fiscal restructuring may produce fiscal



stabilisation while simultaneously deepening mass deprivation. Essentially, these reforms are embedded within a political economy structure where short-term social costs are often externalised to the poor while long-term macroeconomic benefits are projected as national gains. The 2023–2025 reform trajectory under the current administration illustrates this tension clearly, as subsidy removal reduced government expenditure burdens but triggered inflationary pressures across transportation, food systems, and household consumption.

It entails that while macroeconomic indicators may suggest improvement, the distributive consequences reveal deep inequalities in how reform benefits and burdens are allocated. The National Bureau of Statistics (2024) reports sustained increases in food inflation following these reforms, disproportionately affecting low-income households. Similarly, currency depreciation following exchange rate liberalisation increased import costs, further eroding household purchasing power. The World Bank (2024) observes that such adjustment policies, when not complemented by strong social protection systems, tend to intensify poverty and inequality in developing economies. Interestingly, this theoretical framework also helps explain the political dimensions of policy timing and prioritisation in the pre-election context. Economic reforms, while economically necessary, often coincide with political calculations that influence their implementation and communication. The result is a governance environment where economic restructuring appears active at the macro level but socially detached at the micro level, reinforcing the paradox of silent governance and loud suffering.

The Relative Deprivation Theory further deepens this analysis by shifting attention from structural economic relations to the psychological and perceptual dimensions of inequality and dissatisfaction. Essentially, this theory argues that social and political unrest arises not merely from absolute poverty but from perceived gaps between expected living standards and actual lived experiences (Gurr, 1970). It is the sense of being worse off relative to others or relative to prior expectations that fuels discontent and erodes social cohesion.

In Nigeria, this theoretical perspective is particularly relevant in explaining the growing frustration among

citizens despite official narratives of economic recovery. It refers to the widening expectation-reality gap that has become more pronounced in the post-election reform era, where promises of economic relief have contrasted sharply with rising inflation, unemployment, and declining purchasing power. PwC (2024) estimates that over 60 per cent of Nigerians now live below the poverty line, a condition that intensifies comparative dissatisfaction across social groups.

Nonetheless, the lived experiences of citizens reveal that deprivation is not only material but also relational. Urban populations in cities such as Lagos, Abuja, and Port Harcourt frequently compare current economic hardships with earlier periods of relative price stability and subsidy support. This comparison generates a heightened sense of injustice, particularly when juxtaposed against visible displays of wealth among political and economic elites. The World Food Programme (2024) further highlights that food insecurity in Nigeria is driven not only by availability constraints but also by affordability challenges, reinforcing perceptions of unequal access to basic necessities.

It is within this context that the concept of “loud suffering” becomes analytically significant. Citizens express their deprivation through protests, digital activism, informal conversations, and declining trust in public institutions. The silence of governance, characterised by policy assurances without immediate relief, thus contrasts sharply with the vocal and visible suffering of the populace, creating a destabilising feedback loop between perception and political legitimacy.

The Accountability and Democratic Governance Theory completes this analytical triad by focusing on the institutional mechanisms through which citizens hold the state responsible for its actions and inactions. It refers to the expectation that democratic governance should ensure transparency, responsiveness, and enforceable accountability between leaders and the governed (O'Donnell, 1998; World Bank, 2023). Essentially, elections, public institutions, and civil society mechanisms are meant to translate citizen preferences into policy outcomes.

However, in contexts of widespread poverty and policy inertia, these accountability mechanisms often become



weakened or distorted. In Nigeria, democratic processes exist formally, yet their substantive effectiveness is frequently constrained by socio-economic realities that limit citizen engagement and informed participation. When survival becomes the dominant concern, political accountability risks becoming secondary, thereby reducing the capacity of citizens to effectively evaluate governance performance.

It refers to a situation where social protection systems remain insufficient to cushion the effects of economic reforms, thereby widening the gap between policy intention and social impact. The International Labour Organization (2023) notes that Nigeria's social protection architecture remains fragmented and underfunded, limiting its capacity to mitigate economic shocks. Conditional cash transfer programmes, while conceptually important, often suffer from targeting inefficiencies and delayed implementation, reducing their effectiveness in addressing immediate poverty concerns.

Nonetheless, the broader implication is that democratic accountability becomes increasingly symbolic rather than substantive when governance outcomes fail to reflect citizen welfare. Policy inertia, defined as delayed or inadequate response to pressing socio-economic challenges, further exacerbates this condition. The World Bank (2024) emphasises that weak institutional responsiveness undermines trust in governance systems and weakens the feedback loop necessary for democratic consolidation.

Empirical Studies

Empirical literature on the paradox of governance performance and worsening socio-economic conditions in Nigeria reveals a convergence of evidence from international financial institutions, peer-reviewed academic works, global media organisations, civil society reports, and local governance observatories. These sources collectively demonstrate that Nigeria's contemporary development trajectory is characterised by rising macroeconomic instability alongside deepening mass poverty, increasing social discontent, and weakened trust in public institutions. Essentially, the empirical record confirms the coexistence of economic reform efforts and

worsening welfare conditions, thereby reinforcing the central thesis of silent governance and loud suffering.

It is important to begin with findings from major multilateral institutions, particularly the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, which have consistently documented Nigeria's deteriorating poverty profile despite ongoing reform programmes. The World Bank (2024) reports that over 129 million Nigerians live in poverty, representing more than half of the population, with inflation and currency depreciation significantly eroding purchasing power. It further observes that while macroeconomic indicators such as external reserves and fiscal balances show partial improvement, these gains have not translated into improved living standards for the majority of citizens (World Bank, 2024). Similarly, the International Monetary Fund (IMF, 2024) notes that Nigeria faces persistent inflationary pressures, weak per capita growth, and severe food insecurity, all of which exacerbate the cost-of-living crisis.

Interestingly, empirical findings from Human Rights Watch reinforce this perspective by highlighting that the removal of fuel subsidies and exchange rate liberalisation, although economically justified, triggered Nigeria's worst cost-of-living crisis in decades (Human Rights Watch, 2025). It entails that policy reforms, when implemented without strong social cushioning mechanisms, can produce unintended distributive consequences that deepen inequality and poverty. This observation is consistent with earlier IMF assessments that linked inflationary pressures to subsidy removal and currency devaluation, which collectively reduced real incomes across households (IMF, 2024).

Nonetheless, peer-reviewed academic literature further supports the argument that Nigeria's economic reforms are embedded within a broader political economy structure that prioritises fiscal adjustment over immediate social welfare. Studies published in development economics and public policy journals argue that structural reforms in resource-dependent economies often produce short-term welfare losses before long-term gains materialise (Acemoglu and Robinson, 2019). In Nigeria's case, this dynamic is evident in the removal of long-standing subsidies that previously shielded households from global



oil price volatility, thereby exposing them directly to market shocks. A related academic study on subsidy removal in Nigeria highlights that while the policy improves fiscal efficiency, it significantly increases transport and food costs for low-income populations (Ighomereho and Ezeabasili, 2024).

Empirical media observations from international outlets such as Reuters and CNN further corroborate the severity of Nigeria's economic situation. Reuters (2024) reports that Nigeria is experiencing a deepening hunger crisis, with millions facing acute food insecurity due to inflation, insecurity, and economic reforms. It also highlights that subsidy removal and naira devaluation have significantly worsened living costs, pushing millions into vulnerability (Reuters, 2024). CNN and other global media platforms similarly describe Nigeria's economic reforms as "painful but necessary," while acknowledging their severe social impact on households already struggling with poverty and unemployment.

It is equally important to consider domestic media and broadcast analyses, particularly from platforms such as Arise TV and Channels Television, which consistently highlight the disconnect between official economic narratives and citizens' lived experiences. Arise TV discussions frequently emphasise that while government officials point to improving macroeconomic indicators, ordinary Nigerians continue to face rising food prices, transport costs, and declining purchasing power. Channels Television reports similarly indicate that inflationary pressures remain a central driver of poverty, with millions of Nigerians increasingly unable to afford basic necessities (Channels Television, 2024). These media narratives reinforce the perception of policy optimism at the top and economic distress at the bottom.

Moreover, socio-cultural organisations and civil society groups in Nigeria have increasingly intervened in public discourse by documenting the human consequences of economic reforms. Organisations such as BudgIT, the Civil Society Legislative Advocacy Centre (CISLAC), and various youth-led advocacy networks have consistently reported gaps in policy implementation, particularly in social protection and poverty alleviation programmes. These groups argue that while government initiatives exist

on paper, their reach remains limited and their impact insufficient to offset inflationary shocks. This position aligns with World Bank (2024) observations that social safety nets in Nigeria remain fragmented and underfunded. Empirical evidence from human rights activists further strengthens this argument. Activists such as Femi Falana and numerous civil society coalitions have repeatedly criticised what they describe as "anti-poor policies," particularly in relation to subsidy removal and rising taxation pressures. They argue that these policies disproportionately affect the poor while leaving elite consumption patterns largely unaffected. This critique is reflected in protest movements such as the nationwide demonstrations against economic hardship in 2024, where citizens demanded an end to bad governance and relief from rising living costs (Al Jazeera, 2024). Reports indicate that these protests resulted in fatalities and widespread arrests, underscoring the intensity of socio-economic frustration (Reuters, 2024).

Diasporic Nigerian voices have also contributed significantly to empirical discourse through digital platforms, policy commentary, and international advocacy. Many diaspora analysts argue that Nigeria's governance challenges are not solely economic but deeply institutional, reflecting weak accountability structures and elite capture of public resources. These perspectives often emphasise comparative analysis, noting that countries with similar resource endowments have achieved better welfare outcomes due to stronger institutions and more inclusive governance frameworks. Such interventions reinforce the argument that Nigeria's crisis is fundamentally structural rather than purely cyclical.

Empirical observations from political actors and legislators further reveal contradictions within governance narratives. While the presidency and federal ministries often highlight reform progress, legislative debates frequently expose concerns about rising poverty, food insecurity, and insufficient budgetary allocation to social welfare programmes. Presidential communications have acknowledged economic hardship while emphasising long-term stabilisation strategies, including fiscal reforms and investment incentives. However, critics argue that



such narratives often fail to address immediate welfare pressures faced by citizens.

Synthesising these empirical perspectives reveals a consistent pattern: while Nigeria's macroeconomic indicators show partial stabilisation efforts, the microeconomic realities of citizens reflect deepening hardship. Essentially, reforms aimed at fiscal correction have intensified short-term social distress, while weak institutional capacity has limited the effectiveness of mitigation strategies. Nonetheless, public discourse across media, academia, civil society, and international institutions converges on the view that Nigeria's governance challenge is not only economic but fundamentally distributive and institutional.

Methodology

This study adopts a descriptive survey and correlational research design to examine the paradox of silent governance and loud suffering in Nigeria with emphasis on political priorities, mass poverty, policy inertia, and democratic accountability in the pre-2027 era. The study covers the six geopolitical zones of Nigeria namely North-Central, North-East, North-West, South-East, South-South, and South-West. The target population comprises approximately 135 million Nigerians including Heads of Government institutions, Federal, State and Local Government officials, Ministries, Departments and Agencies (MDAs), Parastatals, Commissions, International Development Partners, Politicians, Legislators, Youth and Women Organizations, Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), Religious Leaders, Scholars from tertiary institutions, post-primary school administrators, civil society organizations, and members of the public across the geopolitical zones.

The study utilizes both primary and secondary sources of data. Primary data are obtained through structured questionnaires, oral interviews, and direct observations, while secondary data are sourced from government publications, textbooks, academic journals, policy documents, newspapers, internet materials, and reports from development agencies. The instruments for data collection are subjected to content validity by experts in

Public Administration and Policy Studies, while reliability is determined through the test-retest method and Cronbach Alpha reliability technique to ensure consistency and accuracy of the research instrument.

The study adopts multistage, stratified, purposive, and simple random sampling techniques. Stratification is used in dividing the study into the six geopolitical zones, purposive sampling is employed in selecting relevant institutions and stakeholders, while simple random sampling is used in selecting respondents within the study areas. Theoretical foundations for the study are drawn from Elite Theory, Structural Functionalism Theory, Dependency Theory, and Democratic Accountability Theory, which collectively explain governance failures, elite dominance, poverty, and weak accountability structures in Nigeria's democratic process (Ake, 1981; Easton, 1965).

Sample Size Determination

The sample size for the study is determined using the Taro Yamane formula stated as:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2}$$

Where:

n = Sample size

N= Population size

e= Level of significance (0.05)

Given that the population size is 135,000,000 and the error margin is 0.05, the computation becomes:

$$n = \frac{135000000}{1 + 135000000(0.05)^2} \approx 400$$

Thus, the sample size for the study is approximately 400 respondents proportionately distributed across the six geopolitical zones of Nigeria.

Data collected are analyzed using both qualitative and quantitative methods. Quantitative data are analyzed using frequency tables, percentages, mean scores, chi-square, and correlation analysis, while qualitative responses are analyzed through thematic and content analysis. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences SPSS is used for coding and analysis of quantitative data. Ethical considerations such as confidentiality, anonymity, voluntary participation, and informed consent are strictly observed throughout the conduct of the research.



Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study revealed that the paradox of silent governance and loud suffering has become a defining feature of Nigeria's democratic experience. Evidence from peer-reviewed journal publications, government reports, international development agencies, Nigerian newspapers, electronic media platforms, and intervention reports showed that while political elites continue to emphasise reforms and democratic progress, millions of Nigerians still experience poverty, unemployment, inflation, insecurity, and declining living standards. Reports from the World Bank and other development institutions indicated that recent economic reforms have not significantly improved the welfare of ordinary citizens, as rising food prices, inflation, and weak social protection systems continue to deepen multidimensional poverty across the country. (tradingview.com)

The study further established that corruption remains a major obstacle to effective governance and sustainable development in Nigeria. Findings from anti-corruption agencies, media reports, and scholarly observations revealed that public resources are frequently diverted through inflated contracts, abandoned projects, political patronage, and weak accountability mechanisms. Recent corruption-related prosecutions involving former public officials further demonstrated the persistence of systemic corruption within public institutions. (reuters.com) Consequently, public trust in governance institutions, anti-corruption agencies, and democratic leadership has continued to decline.

The findings also showed that economic reforms introduced by successive governments have produced mixed outcomes. While authorities highlighted fiscal restructuring and revenue improvements, many citizens continued to experience hardship arising from fuel subsidy removal, currency devaluation, and rising taxation. Reuters and other financial observers reported that growing debt servicing obligations now consume a substantial portion of government revenue, thereby reducing investments in education, healthcare, infrastructure, and social welfare programmes. (reuters.com) These developments widened

the gap between government policy objectives and the realities of citizens' daily lives.

The study equally found that insecurity has significantly worsened poverty and humanitarian conditions in several parts of Nigeria. Reports from Reuters, CNN, BBC, humanitarian agencies, and Nigerian electronic media confirmed that insurgency, banditry, kidnappings, and communal violence have displaced thousands of citizens while disrupting agriculture, education, trade, and social stability. (investing.com) Weak security coordination, corruption within security institutions, and poor policy implementation were identified as factors undermining effective responses to these crises.

Another major finding was the growing frustration among diasporic Nigerians, civil society groups, youth organisations, and online communities regarding governance failures in the country. Citizens increasingly utilise digital platforms and media interventions to express concerns over corruption, unemployment, insecurity, and political impunity. (reddit.com) These reactions reflected widespread perceptions that political leadership remains disconnected from the realities facing ordinary Nigerians.

The findings further revealed that mainstream Nigerian newspapers, ARISE TV discussions, BBC, CNN, Reuters, socio-cultural organisations, religious leaders, and professional bodies have continued to play important roles in exposing governance failures and stimulating national debates on poverty, corruption, insecurity, and public accountability. Eminent scholars and policy analysts consistently argued that Nigeria's challenges are largely rooted in weak institutions, elite domination of state resources, and poor policy implementation rather than resource scarcity alone. (arxiv.org)

Finally, the study established that the persistence of silent governance amidst loud public suffering poses serious threats to democratic stability, national unity, and sustainable development in Nigeria. The continued rise in poverty, unemployment, insecurity, and institutional distrust has intensified public dissatisfaction with governance processes. The findings therefore suggest that meaningful national transformation requires transparent leadership, stronger accountability systems, institutional reforms, and people-oriented policies capable of



addressing the socio-economic realities confronting ordinary Nigerians.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The study concluded that the paradox of silent governance and loud suffering in Nigeria reflects the widening disconnect between political leadership and the socio-economic realities confronting ordinary citizens. Findings from peer-reviewed journal publications, government reports, media intervention discussions, international development agencies, and citizens' observation reports revealed that corruption, weak institutions, poor policy implementation, insecurity, and elite-centred governance have continued to undermine democratic development and public welfare in Nigeria. Despite enormous human and material resources, millions of Nigerians continue to experience poverty, unemployment, inflation, insecurity, poor healthcare services, infrastructural decay, and declining living standards. The study therefore established that sustainable development and democratic stability can only be achieved through transparent leadership, institutional accountability, inclusive governance, and people-oriented public policies.

As rightly observed by Nelson Mandela, "A nation should not be judged by how it treats its highest citizens, but its lowest ones." This quotation captures the growing concerns surrounding the condition of vulnerable Nigerians whose welfare continues to deteriorate amidst political promises and governance reforms. Similarly, Julius Nyerere stated that "Leadership is not about power; it is about responsibility to the people." This further emphasises the moral obligation of leaders to prioritise public welfare, social justice, and responsible governance above personal and political interests. In the field of Public Administration and Policy Studies, Christopher Hood argued that "Good governance depends not only on good intentions but on institutions capable of accountability and effective delivery." This highlights the importance of institutional efficiency, transparency, and policy implementation in democratic governance and national development.

Based on the findings of the study, government should strengthen anti-corruption institutions through transparent

investigations, institutional independence, and strict prosecution of corrupt public officials. Effective accountability mechanisms will discourage financial misconduct and restore public confidence in governance and public institutions.

Economic reforms should focus more on citizens' welfare through effective social protection programmes, employment generation, food security, and poverty reduction policies. Government policies should prioritise the living conditions of ordinary Nigerians rather than concentrating only on macroeconomic targets.

Government should improve security coordination, intelligence gathering, and community policing strategies to address insurgency, banditry, kidnappings, and communal violence across the country. Effective security management will promote social stability, agricultural productivity, and national development.

Public institutions should ensure transparency, policy continuity, and proper monitoring of government projects in order to reduce abandoned projects, waste of public resources, and poor service delivery. Institutional efficiency remains essential for sustainable democratic governance.

Government should invest more in education, healthcare, vocational training, youth empowerment, and digital innovation programmes capable of reducing unemployment, poverty, and social inequality. Human capital development is necessary for long-term national growth and economic stability.

Finally, government should strengthen collaboration with media organisations, civil society groups, religious leaders, professional bodies, and socio-cultural organisations in promoting transparency, civic education, national unity, and democratic accountability. Sustainable national transformation requires responsible leadership, stronger institutions, and active citizens' participation in governance processes.

References

Academic Studies on Governance and Public Policy in Nigeria. (arxiv.org)



- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2019). *The Narrow Corridor: States, Societies, and the Fate of Liberty*. Penguin Press.
- Adejumobi, S. (2023). Governance crisis and democratic consolidation in Nigeria. *Journal of African Political Economy*, 15(2), 45–61.
- Ake, C. (1981). *A Political Economy of Africa*. London: Longman.
- Ake, C. (1996). *Democracy and development in Africa*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.
- Al Jazeera. (2024). Nigerians decry ‘anti-poor’ measures in protests. <https://www.aljazeera.com>
- Amnesty International. (2021). *Nigeria: The Lekki Toll Gate massacre: New investigative timeline*.
- ARISE News. (2025). Media discussions on insecurity, corruption and governance challenges in Nigeria. Retrieved from ARISE News
- BBC News. (2025). Nigeria’s economic hardship and governance challenges. Retrieved from BBC News
- Central Bank of Nigeria. (2024). *Annual Economic Report*. Abuja: CBN.
- Channels Television. (2024). *Inflation and poverty reports in Nigeria*. Abuja: Channels Media.
- Chatham House. (2025). *Corruption and governance in Nigeria*. Retrieved from Chatham House Citizens’ and Diasporic Intervention Discussions on Governance in Nigeria. (reddit.com)
- CNN. (2025). *Reports on insecurity, poverty and public reactions in Nigeria*. Retrieved from CNN
- Debt Management Office. (2024). *Nigeria’s Public Debt Profile*. Abuja: DMO.
- Easton, D. (1965). *A Systems Analysis of Political Life*. New York: Wiley.
- Gurr, T. R. (1970). *Why Men Rebel*. Princeton University Press.
- Hood, C. (1991). A public management for all seasons? *Public Administration*, 69(1), 3–19.
- Human Rights Watch. (2025). *World Report: Nigeria Country Chapter*. New York: HRW.
- Ighomereho, S. O., & Ezeabasili, I. E. (2024). Fuel subsidy removal and public policy impact in Nigeria. *Journal of Public Policy Studies*.
- International Labour Organization. (2023). *World Social Protection Report 2023*. Geneva: ILO.
- International Monetary Fund. (2024). *Nigeria Economic Assessment Report*. Washington DC: IMF.
- International Monetary Fund. (2024). *Nigeria Economic Outlook Report*. Washington DC: IMF.
- International Monetary Fund. (2025). *Nigeria economic outlook and fiscal reforms report*. Washington, DC: IMF Publications.
- Mandela, N. (1994). *Long walk to freedom*. London: Little, Brown and Company.
- National Bureau of Statistics. (2024). *Consumer Price Index Report*. Abuja: NBS.
- National Bureau of Statistics. (2024). *Inflation and Consumer Price Index Report*. Abuja: NBS.
- North, D., Wallis, J., & Weingast, B. (2009). *Violence and Social Orders*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nyerere, J. (1973). *Freedom and development*. Dar es Salaam: Oxford University Press.



- O'Donnell, G. (1998). Horizontal accountability in new democracies. *Journal of Democracy*, 9(3), 112–126.
- Obadan, M. I. (2022). Public policy implementation and governance challenges in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Public Administration*, 8(1), 72–89.
- Premium Times. Reports on governance and poverty issues in Nigeria. (premiumtimesng.com)
- Premium Times. (2025). Reports on poverty, inflation and governance in Nigeria. Retrieved from Premium Times
- PricewaterhouseCoopers. (2024). Nigeria Economic Outlook and Poverty Projections. PwC Nigeria.
- Reuters. Reports on corruption, debt servicing, insecurity, and economic reforms in Nigeria. (reuters.com)
- Reuters. (2024). Nigeria hunger crisis and economic hardship report. <https://www.reuters.com>
- Reuters. (2025). Reports on corruption, insecurity and economic reforms in Nigeria. Retrieved from Reuters
- The Guardian Nigeria. (2025). Editorial reports on democratic governance and public welfare in Nigeria. Retrieved from The Guardian Nigeria
- Transparency International. (2024). Corruption Perceptions Index. Berlin: TI.
- Transparency International. (2025). Corruption perceptions index and governance reports on Nigeria. Berlin: Transparency International.
- United Nations Development Programme. (2023). Human Development Report. New York: UNDP.
- World Bank. Development and Poverty Reports on Nigeria. (tradingview.com)
- World Bank. (2023). Nigeria Poverty Assessment Report. Washington DC: World Bank.
- World Bank. (2023). World Development Report: Governance and the Law. Washington DC: World Bank.
- World Bank. (2024). African Pulse Report. Washington DC: World Bank.
- World Bank. (2024). Nigeria Development Update. Washington DC: World Bank.
- World Bank. (2025). Nigeria development update: Poverty, economic reforms and social protection. Washington, DC: World Bank Publications.
- World Food Programme. (2024). Nigeria Food Security Update. Rome: WFP.
- Yamane, T. (1967). *Statistics: An Introductory Analysis* (2nd ed.). New York: Harper and Row.