



## UTILIZATION OF IMPROVED RICE PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGIES AMONG RICE FARMERS IN EKITI STATE, NIGERIA

Aremu, P. A.; \*\*Adewale, J. G. and \*\*Olaniyi, O. A.

\*National Cereal Research Institute, Badeggi, Niger State, Nigeria.

\*\*Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomosho

Corresponding author's email: [arenumola@yahoo.com](mailto:arenumola@yahoo.com)

**Abstract:** The global demand for rice continues to rise due to population growth and changing dietary preferences. Improved rice production technologies offer significant potential to enhance yield, ensure food security and support sustainable agricultural practices. This study explores the utilization of improved rice production technologies among rice farmers in Ekiti State, Nigeria. A multistage sampling procedure was employed for the selection of 133 rice farmers for the study. Data were collected using a structured interview schedule. Descriptive statistics, frequency counts, percentages, means, and Weighted Mean Scores (WMS) were used to describe the study's objectives. In addition, Pearson Product-Moment Correlation was used to test the study's hypothesis. The findings revealed that the mean age of rice farmers was 44 years, while the mean household size was 4 people and the grand mean number of years spent in formal school was 4.1 years. The mean year of experience in rice farming was 5.2 years, while the mean size of rice farm cultivated was 2.9 hectares and the mean annual income was #595,420. Knapsack sprayer, rice farming inputs and improved rice varieties were the types of improved rice production technologies utilized by the rice farmers on every occasion while poor access road and other infrastructure, lack of transport facilities and high cost of farm inputs and excessive weed, pest and disease infestation were the most strenuous and tough constraints encountered in rice farming. Significant relationship was found between rice farmers age ( $r=0.310$ ,  $p=0.000$ ), household size ( $r=0.409$ ,  $p=0.000$ ), number of years of spent schooling ( $r=0.131$ ,  $p=0.034$ ), years of experience in rice farming ( $r=0.505$ ,  $p=0.000$ ), size of rice farm ( $r=0.470$ ,  $p=0.000$ ), and annual income ( $r=0.142$ ,  $p=0.000$ ) and utilization of improved rice production technologies among rice farmers. The study concluded that the successful utilization of improved rice production technologies holds the key to addressing the pressing challenges of food security and agricultural sustainability. It was recommended that there should be the implementation of comprehensive training programmes to educate the farmers on the benefits and practices associated with the utilization of improved rice production technologies.

**Keywords:** Utilization, Improved rice production technologies, Rice farmers, Rice farming

### Introduction

Agriculture remains a fundamental component of Nigerian economy, employing about two-thirds of the nation's workforce. The National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) reported that agricultural sector contributed 41 trillion naira representing 23.7 percent of the total nominal Gross Domestic Product (GDP) to Nigeria's economy in 2021 (Ripples Nigeria, 2022). Over 70 percent of Nigerians engage in the agriculture sector mainly at a subsistence level (Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), 2022). The country is rich with abundant human and natural resources,

quality soil and favourable climatic conditions for agricultural activities. Nigeria with a population of over 200 million people and a landmass of 923,768 square kilometres has a total of over 79 million hectares of cultivable land (FAO, 2022). A breakdown of the arable land reveals that about 4.6 million hectares are suitable for rice cultivation, of which 1.8 million hectares (39%) is currently utilized for rice production (Demont, 2013). Rice (*Oryza sativa*) is a staple food for more than 60 percent of the world population (Chikezie *et al.*, 2020). Rice (*Oryza sativa*) is a cereal crop and a staple food that is consumed globally by 50% of every

Academic Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research

An official Publication of Center for International Research Development

Double Blind Peer and Editorial Review International Referred Journal; Globally index

Available <https://cirdjournals.com/index.php/ajahr>; E-mail: [journals@cirdjournals.com](mailto:journals@cirdjournals.com)



household (Akinniran and Faleye, 2020). Rice belongs to the seed of the grass species *Oryza sativa* or *Oryza glaberrima* and of the grass family Gramineae, a large monocotyledonous family of some 600 genera and around 10,000 species. (Ajala and Gana 2015). Rice is not only meant for consumption, but also source of income generation and employer of labour for the poor. In rice producing areas, rice production provides employment for more than 80% of the inhabitants in various activities along the production/distribution chain from cultivation to consumption (Ajoma *et al.*, 2016). Rice is cultivated in almost all agro-ecological zones of the country, as it is part of one major cereal crop produced by Nigeria farmers. In terms of comparative advantage Ajah and Ajah (2014), identified that rice can be grown in flooded and non-flooded soils because it has both lowland and upland varieties that can adapt to different agro-climatic and soil conditions. FAO (2013) observed that the demand and supply gap in rice production is widening annually, thereby resulting in huge import bill for the nation. According to Ammani (2013), Nigeria is the second highest importer of rice and spent over 356 billion naira on the importation of the commodity annually. Nigeria food importation is growing at an alarming and unsustainable rate of 11% yearly, and it has continued to fuel domestic inflation resulting from the use of scarce foreign currency to import rice. Uduma *et al.* (2016) noted that the inability of local supply to meet up with consumers' demand (consumption) has given rise to the high importation of rice in Nigeria. There has been a phenomenal rise in rice importation in Nigeria, estimated at 300 thousand tons annually in recent times which on the average is valued at 300 million naira per annum. They stressed that aside from the huge cost to the Nigerian economy, rice imports expose the country to international market shocks with its associated risk implications on food security. This growing dependence on rice imports is a major concern of Nigeria's government, and since the early 1980s numerous programmes have been implemented to encourage domestic rice production mostly done by smallholder farmers. Smallholder farmers operate at subsistence level due to lack of funds to support their farming activities. They contribute greatly to the Nigerian agricultural sector and deserve every support to boost their

rate of production, supply raw materials to agro-allied industries, and ensuring food security. Improved rice technologies are methods and practices that affect rice production. Improved technologies are seen as a way of boosting farmers' income in most of the developing countries because they resulted into increase farm yield and income (Margaret and Samuel 2015). Unfortunately, the majority of smallholder farmers rely on traditional methods of production and this has reduced their level of productivity. Akinsuyi (2011) opined that 80% of rice production is from smallholder farmers who are the major supplier of food to Nigerians. These farmers generally obtain very low crop yields due to the use of local varieties. Most of the rice is grown under rain-fed conditions and irrigation is not frequently used, little or no fertilizer application and pest control is not adequate (Muzari *et al.*, 2012). Many rice farmers, particularly smallholders, lack access to financial resources, quality seeds, lack of access to information, the risk associated with the technology and extension services necessary for implementing improved rice production technologies which limits their ability to invest in and benefit from these technologies (FAO 2013). Farmers often lack the necessary knowledge and training to implement advanced agricultural practices effectively. The diffusion of information about improved rice production technologies is uneven with remote areas particularly underserved (Zhang *et al.* 2020). Socioeconomic status, social structures and cultural beliefs can influence a farmer's willingness and ability to adopt new technologies as wealthier farmers tend to absorb the initial costs better than their poorer counterparts, leading to a digital divide in technology utilization as well as the extent of utilizing the improved rice production technologies (Tiku *et al.*, 2017). Inadequate infrastructure and limited access to markets, hampers the effective distribution of inputs which are often critical for the success of improved rice production technologies (Gulati *et al.* 2014). The use of high yielding crop varieties facilitates and stimulates the transition from low productivity subsistence agriculture to a high productivity agro-industrial economy. The sustainability of increased production through improved rice production technologies often raises environmental concerns thus balancing high production with sustainable practices



remains a critical challenge (Mwangi and Kariuki, 2015). Inefficient agricultural policies and weak institutional support can limit farmers' access to necessary resources and information, making it more challenging to implement new technologies and practices (Otsuka *et al.*, 2016). The utilization of improved rice production technologies will not only boost rice production and ensure self-sufficiency in rice production but will also reduce importation of rice and generally lead to food security in Nigeria. It is against this backdrop this study intends to assess the utilization of improved rice production technologies among rice farmers in Ekiti State, Nigeria. Specifically, the study describes the socio-economic characteristics of the rice farmers, types of improved rice production technologies utilized by the rice farmers and constraints to effective utilization of improved rice production technologies by the rice farmers. It was hypothesized that there is no significant relationship between the socioeconomic characteristics of the rice farmers and utilization of improved rice production technologies among rice farmers.

### **Methodology**

Ekiti State is a state in Southwestern Nigeria and is bounded to the north by Kwara State, to the northeast by Kogi State, to the south and southeast by Ondo State, and to the west by Osun State. The Yorubas make up the majority of the state's population. Ekiti State was formed from a part of old Ondo State in 1996 and has its capital as the city of Ado-Ekiti. One of the smallest states of Nigeria, Ekiti is the 31st largest in area and 30th most populous with an estimated population of 3.592 million as of 2022 (National Population Commission web, and National Bureau of Statistics web). The state is divided between the Nigerian lowland forests in most of the state and the drier Guinean forest–savannah mosaic in the north. Ekiti State is partially based around agriculture, mainly of yams, rice, cocoa, and cassava crops. The State is mainly an upland zone, rising over 250 meters above sea level. It lies on an area underlain by metamorphic rock. It is generally an undulating part of the country with a characteristic landscape that consists of old plains broken by step-sided out-crops that may occur singularly or in groups or ridges. The State enjoys tropical climate with two distinct seasons. These are the rainy season (April–October) and the dry season (November– March).

Temperature ranges between 21° and 28 °C with high humidity. The south westerly wind and the northeast trade winds blow in the rainy and dry (Harmattan) seasons respectively. Tropical forest exists in the south, while savannah occupies the northern peripheries. Ekiti State consists of sixteen Local Government Areas. They are: Ado-Ekiti, Ikere, Oye, Aiyekire (Gbonyin), Efon, Ekiti East, Ekiti South-West, Ekiti West, Emure, IdoOsi, Ijero, Ikole, Ilejemeje, Irepodun/Ifelodun, Ise/Orun, Moba. The dominant religions in Ekiti State are Christianity and Islam. Although traditional and traditional religion adherents are found there. A multistage sampling procedure was employed for the selection of rice farmers for this study in the study area. Ekiti States has 16 Local Government Areas out of which four (25 percent) were purposively selected and these are: Efon Alaye, Irepodun / Ifelodun, Oye Ekiti and Ikole Ekiti. The second stage involved purposive selection of 2 rice producing communities, making 8 rice producing communities namely; Erio, Igbemo, Ode, Ijan, Efon Alaye, Ikere, Oye and Ikole. The third stage involved random selection of 10% of all the registered rice farmers (1300) in the selected communities making a total of one hundred and thirty (133) rice farmers that constituted the sample size for the study. The data obtained were subjected to both descriptive and inferential statistical tools. Descriptive statistical tools employed in the course of this study include: frequency counts, percentage means, and weighted mean score (WMS), while Pearson Product-Moment Correlation (PPMC) was used for testing the stated hypothesis.

### **Results and Discussion**

#### **Respondents' socioeconomic characteristics**

##### **Respondents' Age**

Results presented in Tables 1 revealed that 41.4% the rice farmers were between the age range of 40–49 years while 18.7% were between the age range of 50–59 years and 13.5% of them were between 30–39 years. Also, 19.6% are below 30 years of age and 6.8% were 60 years of age and above with a mean age of 44 years. This indicates that majority of the respondents (74.5%), were less than 50 years of age hence considered as productive, economically active, able-bodied and agile young men and women who possessed the physical strength to sustain rigorous and



arduous tasks required in rice farming. The study finding is in line with the reports of Nwofoke *et al.* (2024) that the mean age of the rice farmers was 44 years.

#### **Respondents' Household Size**

Result presented in Table 1 further revealed that 63.2% of the rice farmers had household size of less than 5 people while 23.3% of them had household size of between 5-6 people, 13.5% of them had household size of 7-8 people and none had household size of 9 people and above with the mean household size of 4 people. This implies that the respondents in the study area had small household size which indicates that there would be unavailability of family labour to carryout farming activities. This finding is in disagreement with the reports of Michael *et al.* (2024) and Oluwatusin and Sekumade (2016) that the mean household size was 7 and 9 persons per household.

#### **Respondents' Years spent in Formal School**

Table 1 reveals that 46.6% of the rice farmers spent less or exactly 5 years in primary school while 53.4% of them spent 6 years in primary school with 4.9 years as the mean number of years spent in primary school. Also, 80.5% of them spent less or exactly 5 years in secondary school while 19.6% of them spent 6 years in secondary school with 4.5 years as the mean number of years spent in secondary school. Less than half (30.8%) of the rice farmers spent less or exactly 2 years in the polytechnic while 44.4% of them spent 3 years in the polytechnic and 24.8% spent 4 years in the polytechnic with 3 years as the mean number of years spent in the polytechnic. Similarly, 37.6% of the respondents spent less or exactly 4 years in the university while 31.6% spent 5 years and 30.8% of them spent 6 years in the university with 4 years as the mean number of years spent in the university. The grand mean number of years spent in formal school by the rice farmers was 3.7 years. The above finding implies that the rice farmers had relatively low level of formal education which could affect the communication and comprehension of technical agricultural information. This finding is in tandem with the report of Apuyor *et al.* (2023) that the rice farmers had low level of formal education which could be a barrier to the adoption of innovation that could have improved their production and productivity level.

#### **Respondents' Years of Experience in Rice Farming**

Table 1 shows that 7.5% of the rice farmers had less than 5 years of experience in rice farming, 65.4% had between 5-6 years of experience in rice farming while 9% of them had between 7-8 years of experience in rice farming and 18.1% of them had 9 years and above in rice farming experience with 5.2 years as the mean year of experience in rice farming. This indicates that all the sampled rice farmers the study area had less than 10 years of experience in rice farming which implies that they may have limited knowledge and understanding of rice production technologies thus making it more challenging for them for them to grasp and effectively implement information provided through agricultural extension teaching methods and at the same time may be more resistant to change and less inclined to adopt new and improved technologies. Also, these farmers may lack practical experience in the implementation of new rice production technologies. The above finding is in line with the report of Michael *et al.* (2024) that most of the respondents had experience of less than 10 years with 4.4 years as the mean years of experience in the study area.

#### **Respondents' Size of Rice Farm**

Result presented in Table 1 further shows that 51.1% of the rice farmers cultivate less than 3ha of rice farm while 31.6% of them cultivate between 3-4ha of rice farm and 17.3% cultivate 5ha of rice farm and above with 2.9ha as the mean size of rice farm cultivated by the respondents. This indicates that the rice farmers in the study area cultivate less than 3ha of rice farm thus operating on a small-scale which may be as a result of the respondents having limited financial resources thus making it more challenging for them to invest in new technologies. This finding corroborates the report of Alabi *et al.* (2023) that majority of the rice farmers in the study area were small holders.

#### **Respondents' Annual Income**

Table 1 shows that in Ekiti State, 39.1% of the rice farmers earned between #300,000- #499,999 annually and 40.6% earned an annual income of between #500,000-#699,999 while 16.5% earned #900,000 and above annually. Less than four percent (3.8%) earned below #300,000 annually with #595,420 as the mean annual income. The above finding indicates that rice farming in the study area profitable. Higher mean annual income may indicate that



the farmers have greater financial resources to invest in improved rice production technologies. This finding

corroborates the report of Abdullahi *et al.* (2022) that rice production is highly profitable in the study area.

**Table 1: Distribution of respondents' socio-economic characteristics (n=133)**

Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage	Mean
<b>Age(yrs)</b>			
<30	26	19.6	44years
30-39	18	13.5	
40-49	55	41.4	
50-59	25	18.7	
60>	9	6.8	
<b>Household size</b>			
<5	84	63.2	4people
5-6	31	23.3	
7-8	18	13.5	
9>	0	0	
<b>No of years spent schooling</b>			
<b>Primary school</b>			
<5years	62	46.6	4.9years
6years>	71	53.4	
<b>Secondary School</b>			
<5years	107	80.5	4.5years
6years>	26	19.5	
<b>Polytechnic</b>			
<2years	41	30.8	1.5years
No Response	92	69.2	
<b>University</b>			
4years	50	37.6	4years
5years	42	31.6	
6years	41	30.8	
<b>Years of experience in rice farming</b>			
<5	10	7.5	5.2years
5-6	87	65.4	
7-8	12	9	
9>	24	18.1	
<b>Size of rice farm</b>			
<3	68	51.1	2.9ha
3-4	42	31.6	
5>	23	17.3	
<b>Annual Income</b>			
<#300,000	5	3.8	#595,420
#300,000-#499,999	52	39.1	



#500,000-#699,999	54	40.6
#700,000>	22	16.5

Source: Field Survey, 2024

### Types of improved rice production technologies utilized by the rice farmers

Result presented in Table 2 show that knapsack sprayer ranked 1st with a weighted mean score of 2.76, rice farming inputs ranked 2nd with a weighted mean score of 2.75 and improved rice varieties ranked 3rd with a weighted mean score of 2.71 were the types of improved rice production technologies mostly utilized by the rice farmers. This indicates that knapsack sprayer, rice farming inputs and improved rice varieties were the types of improved rice production technologies utilized by the rice farmers on

every occasion. Utilizing technologies such as the knapsack sprayers, improved rice varieties and rice farming inputs can lead to higher adoption rates among the rice farmers because when the farmers see the benefits of these technologies in action, they are more likely to be receptive to information provided through the extension teaching methods. This finding is in line with the report of Adisa *et. al.* (2019) that the improved rice production facilities such as rice farming inputs, field preparation/planting, and harvesting/processing were utilized by the rice farmers.

**Table 2: Distribution of Respondents by types of improved rice production technologies utilized (n=133)**

Improved rice production technologies utilized	WMS	Rank
Tube well	1.56	19 <sup>th</sup>
Bird-scarier	2.62	6 <sup>th</sup>
Knapsack sprayer	2.76	1 <sup>st</sup>
Rice farming inputs	2.75	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Improved variety	2.71	3 <sup>rd</sup>
Timeliness of fertilizer application	2.65	4 <sup>th</sup>
Herbicide	2.64	5 <sup>th</sup>
Pesticide	2.17	12 <sup>th</sup>
Rodenticide	1.99	14 <sup>th</sup>
25 by 25 plant spacing	2.53	8 <sup>th</sup>
Use of plough	1.77	17 <sup>th</sup>
Use of harrow	1.44	22 <sup>nd</sup>
Rain fed irrigated lowland management	1.42	23 <sup>rd</sup>
Rain fed irrigated upland management	1.53	20 <sup>th</sup>
Long-grain seed selection	1.68	18 <sup>th</sup>
Short-grain seed selection	1.93	15 <sup>th</sup>
Seed selection	2.18	11 <sup>th</sup>
Seed testing	2.61	7 <sup>th</sup>
Processing	1.93	15 <sup>th</sup>
Reaper	1.49	21 <sup>st</sup>
Per boiler	2.08	13 <sup>th</sup>
Dryer	2.44	9 <sup>th</sup>
Packaging equipment	2.27	10 <sup>th</sup>

WMS- Weighted Mean Score

Source: Field Survey, 2024

### Constraints to effective utilization of improved rice production technologies by the rice farmers

Table 3 shows that the most commons constraints to effective utilization of improved rice production



technologies by the rice farmers were poor access road and other infrastructure ranked 1st with a weighted mean score of 3.65, lack of transport facilities ranked 2nd with a weighted mean score of 3.57 and high cost of farm inputs ranked 3rd with a weighted mean score of 3.56 and excessive weed, pest and disease infestation ranked 4th with a weighted mean score of 3.53. This implies that the rice farmers in the study area considered poor access road and other infrastructure, lack of transport facilities and high cost of farm inputs and excessive weed, pest and disease infestation as the most strenuous and tough constraints encountered in rice farming. This implies that poor access roads and transportation facilities can hinder extension workers from reaching out to the rice farmers which

invariably will result in limited dissemination of information to the rice farmers on improved production technologies. Also, without proper infrastructure and facilities, it will be difficult for the extension workers to provide practical demonstration of improved production techniques to the rice farmers which can limit the effectiveness of extension teaching methods in conveying complex information and encouraging the adoption of new practices. This finding is in line with the report of Kshash and Oda (2022) that the major constraints faced by the rice farmers include high cost of inputs (fertilizer, pesticides), unavailability of improved varieties, lack of capital, High cost of improved varieties, unfavourable government marketing system and poor marketing information

**Table 3: Distribution of Respondents by constraints to effective utilization of improved rice production technologies (n=133)**

Constraints to effective utilization of improved rice production technologies	WMS	Rank
Inadequate finance and credit facilities	3.43	6 <sup>th</sup>
Poor soil fertility	2.58	12 <sup>th</sup>
Inadequate size of farmland	2.89	10 <sup>th</sup>
Lack of adequate and timely information	3.09	8 <sup>th</sup>
Excessive weed, pest and disease infestation	3.53	4 <sup>th</sup>
Soil erosion problem and flood	2.74	11 <sup>th</sup>
High cost of farm inputs	3.56	3 <sup>rd</sup>
Inadequate/lack of seed testing laboratories	3.07	9 <sup>th</sup>
Poor access road and other infrastructure	3.65	1 <sup>st</sup>
Absence of processing facilities	3.51	5 <sup>th</sup>
Inadequate supply of farm inputs	1.91	13 <sup>th</sup>
Lack of transport facilities	3.57	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Non availability of market for rice produce	3.23	7 <sup>th</sup>

WMS- Weighted Mean Score

Source: Field Survey, 2024

### Hypothesis testing

**H<sub>01</sub>: There is no significant relationship between the socioeconomic characteristics of the rice farmers and utilization of improved rice production technologies among rice farmers**

**Relationship between the socioeconomic characteristics of the rice farmers and utilization of improved rice production technologies among rice farmers**

Pearson Product Moment Correlation analysis result presented in Table 4 show that there is significant relationship between rice farmers age ( $r=0.310$ ,  $p=0.000$ ),

household size ( $r=0.409$ ,  $p=0.000$ ), number of years of spent schooling ( $r=0.131$ ,  $p=0.034$ ), years of experience in rice farming ( $r=0.505$ ,  $p=0.000$ ), size of rice farm ( $r=0.470$ ,  $p=0.000$ ), and annual income ( $r=0.142$ ,  $p=0.000$ ) and utilization of improved rice production technologies among rice farmers. This implies that younger and less experienced rice farmers may benefit more from specific interventions and hands-on training thus tailoring extension programmes to meet their needs can improve utilization rates of new technologies. Larger households may have different labour dynamics influencing the utilization and implementation of



new technologies thus the extension services could consider household size when designing outreach programmes. This is in line with the report of Rilwanu *et al.* (2024) that

household size and age were among the factors influencing the utilization of improved rice production technologies among the respondents.

**Table 4: Summary of Correlation analysis showing the relationship between respondents' socioeconomic characteristics and utilization of improved rice production technologies among rice farmers**

Characteristics	r-value	p-value	Decision
Age	0.310	0.000	Significant
Household size	0.409	0.000	Significant
Years spent schooling	0.131	0.000	Significant
Years of experience in rice farming	0.505	0.000	Significant
Size of rice farm	0.470	0.000	Significant
Annual income	0.142	0.000	Significant

Significant at 1% level of significance

Source: Field Survey, 2024

### Conclusion and Recommendations

In conclusion, the study assessed the utilization of improved rice production technologies among rice farmers. The successful utilization of improved rice production technologies holds the key to addressing the pressing challenges of food security and agricultural sustainability. The study underscores that while there is recognition of the benefits these technologies bring, the utilization rate remains suboptimal. Factors such as poor access road and other infrastructure, lack of transport facilities and high cost of farm inputs and excessive weed, pest and disease infestation significantly influence farmers' decisions. To maximize the potential of improved rice cultivation methods, it is crucial to foster an environment that is conducive to innovation uptake. Facilitating collaboration among stakeholders-farmers, extension services, government agencies and the private sector can drive the desired changes. It was recommended that;

1. There should be the implementation of comprehensive training programmes to educate the farmers on the benefits and practices associated with the utilization of improved rice production technologies. Interactive workshops and field demonstrations can enhance understanding and foster confidence in utilizing new methods.

2. The establishment of financial assistance programmes to alleviate the cost burden associated with utilizing new technologies. Partnerships with financial institutions can provide tailored solutions for the farmers.

3. Investment in rural infrastructure to improve access to markets and resources necessary for the utilization of improved technologies.

4. Encouraging collaboration between agricultural extension officers, research institutions and farmers to ensure continuous knowledge exchange and support. This collaboration can also involve organizing farmer cooperatives to collectively address challenges.

### References

- Abdullahi, A. Daramola, A. G. and Amos, T. T. (2022): Determinants of Profit Efficiency among Small Scale Rice Farmers in North Central, Nigeria: A Profit Function Approach. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics and Sociology*, 40(1), 56-66.
- Adisa, R. S., Ahmed, T. A., Ebenehi, O. and Oyibo, F. O. (2019): Perceived Benefits of Adoption of Improved Rice Production Technologies among Small-Scale Farmers in Kogi state, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension*, 23(1), 138-148
- Ajah, J. and Ajah, F. C. (2014): Socio-economic determinants of small scale rice farmers' output in Abuja, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Rural Development*, 4(1), 16-24.
- Ajala, S. and Gana, A. (2015): Analysis of challenges facing rice processing in Nigeria. *Journal of Food Processing*. 20(15), 1-6.



- Ajoma, C., Ezihe, J.A.C. and Odoemenem, I.U (2016). Allocative Efficiency of Rice Production in Cross River State, Nigeria: A Production Function Approach. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)* 9 (8), 32-38.
- Akinniran, T.N. and Faleye, G.R. (2020). Economic Analysis of Rice Production and Processing in Nigeria 1981-2019. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and BioResearch*, 5(5), 113-132.
- Akinola, A. O., Adeyemo, R., & Adekunle, O. A. (2020). Effect of improved rice technologies on productivity and profitability of rice farming in Kwara State, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics and Sociology*, 38-44.
- Akinsuyi, Y. (2011): Local Government Intervention: Impact in Small-Scale Farming This Day Live. [www.thisdaylive.com](http://www.thisdaylive.com), accessed on 4th July, 2012.
- Alabi, S.I., Umar, S. Panwal, E.F. and Taru, B. (2023): Effect of Adoption of Rice Varieties on Income of Farmers in Birnin Kebbi Local Government Area, Kebbi State, Nigeria. *Taraba Journal of Agricultural Research*, 16-21. ISSN: 978-978-923-450-9
- Apuyor, A., Mohammad, U. S. and Ojo, A. O. (2023): Socio-economic Characteristics of Rice Farmers in Flood Prone areas of Niger State, Nigeria. Proceedings of the First Faculty of Agriculture International Conference, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria. 246-249
- Chikezie, C., Benchendo, G. N., Ibeagwa, O. B., Oshaji, I. O. and Onuzulu, O. A., 2020. Analysis of technical efficiency among rice farmers in Ebonyi State of Nigeria: A stochastic frontier approach. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 18(1), 40-49.
- Demont, M., 2013. Revising urban bias in African rice markets: A review of 19 National Development Strategies. *Global Food Security*, 2(3), 172-181.
- Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO), (2013): FAO Rice Market Monitor (RMM). Volume, 16, Trade and Market Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 1-36.
- Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO), 2022. Nigeria Agriculture at a Glance. Rome: FAO. Available from <https://www.fao.org/nigeria/fao-innigeria/nigeria-at-a-glance/en/>
- Kshash, B. H. and Oda, H. K. (2022): Constraints Facing Rice Farmers in Iraq. IOP Conference Series: *Earth and Environmental Science*, 1060; .1-9
- Margaret, M. and Samuel, K. (2015). Factors Determining Adoption of New Agricultural Technology by Smallholder Farmers in Developing Countries. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, (6), 5-12.
- Michael, I. W., Garba, A. and Hamidu, B (2024): Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Use among Hungry Rice (ACCHA) Farmers in Plateau State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology (NJAAT)*, 4(2), 9-19.
- Muzari, W. Gatsi, W. and Muvhunzi, S. (2012): The Impacts of Technology Adoption on Smallholder Agricultural Productivity in Sub-saharan Africa: A review. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 5(8).
- Mwangi, M. and Kariuki, S., 2015. Factors Determining Adoption of New Agricultural Technology by Smallholder Farmers in Developing Countries. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 6(5), 208-216.
- Nwofoke, C., Igwe, G.V.C. and Anagah, F.I. (2024): Utilization Of Improved Rice Production Technologies Among Rice Farmers' Cooperative Societies In Ohaukwu L.G.A Of Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)*, 17(8), 31-38



Oluwatusin, F. M. and Sekumade, A. B. (2016). Farm household income sources diversification behaviour in Nigeria. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, 6(4), 102-111.

Rilwanu, S. M., Sulaiman, A. and Bose, A. A. (2024): Factors influencing adoption of improved rice production technologies in Western Agricultural Zone of Bauchi State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology (NJAAT)*, 4(2), 41-54

Tiku, N. E., Sanusi, S. O., Adedeji, I. A. and Ebara, A. J., (2017): Socio-economic factors affecting local rice production in Biase local government area in Cross River State, Nigeria. Conference Proceedings of the 18<sup>th</sup> Annual National Conference of the Nigerian Association of Agricultural Economists Held at Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria 16th-19th October.

Uduma, B. U., Adeoye, S. O. and Agbonlahor, M. U., (2016): Irrigation potentials and rice self-sufficiency in Nigeria: A review. *African Journal of Agricultural Research Review*, 11(5), 298-309.